

\*\*\* THIS COMMENT RECEIVED AT THE CEQ WEB-SITE \*\*\*

Sarah Gasiewicz  
1837 Monte Alban Drive

North Las Vegas, NV 89031  
702-643-4747  
Affiliation: I  
sarah\_gasiewicz@medcohealth.com  
Date Received: 06-SEP-2002

Question: A1

Response:

I am not answerering a question, however, am just submitting my general comments. Thus far, the pro-arguments I am hearing for changing NEPA are ]hinders development, makes the process too long,] etc. Can we please not forget that this is the very point to NEPA. It is a process that must be undertaken to analyze the affects of a major project - how it will affect the environment, what species+ will be affected, and ways to minimize these effects. If we were to shave down this process in any way, we would simply be extinguishing the soul of NEPA.

I am disgusted at the fact that everytime I hear the news, or read the paper, or check MSNBC on the web that the BUSH administration is slashing every environmental regulation out there, and reversing any progress made in the last 30 years. This has got to stop. Respectfully, Sarah G.,  
Environmental Specialist.