

Attachment 7A

Explanatory Notes for Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Report August 1, 2011

Office of the Secretary (OS)

The OS appropriations include:

- General Departmental Management; Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC HIT); [OS 1609(c) Page 1, Line 1] and
- Public Health and Social Services Emergency Fund (IT Security) [OS 1609(c) Page 1, Line 2].

NEPA reviews for OS ARRA funding are completed. The spend plans for ONC HIT and the IT Security were reviewed in accordance with Health and Human Services (HHS) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) procedures and determined to be categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Additionally, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) transferred \$400 million of the appropriation for Comparative Effectiveness Research to the Office of the Secretary and categorically excluded the amount from further review. AHRQ will report on the NEPA work associated with those funds in its ARRA Section 1609(c) report.

ONC HIT: Obligated funds for this period are reported in the Section 1609(c) report and reflect **9** new activities (HITECH grants and contracts awarded by ONC, the HHS Office for Civil Rights, the HHS Office for Planning and Evaluation, CDC, NIH/NLM, SAMHSA, and AHRQ) for this reporting period. There are a total of **258** activities that are categorically excluded. Additionally, OS transferred \$20M of ONC's ARRA appropriation to National Institute of Standards and Technology in September 2009 and thus does not report this amount.

IT Security: Obligated funds for this period are reported in the Section 1609(c) report and reflect 0 new activities (contracts and purchase orders) for this reporting period. There are a total of 99 activities that are categorically excluded and are in support of the following initiatives:

- Security Incident Response & Coordination
- Operating Division (OPDIV) Security Engineering and Technical Staff Support
- Enterprise-wide Security Situational Awareness
- Endpoint (Desktop Computer) Protection, Internet Content Web Security Filtering, and Data Loss Prevention
- Enhanced OPDIV Security Architecture, Engineering, and Implementation

Through Interdepartmental Delegation of Authority (IDDA), OS received the following funds from the CDC Prevention and Wellness Funds:

- OS/Office of Public Health and Sciences (OPHS) \$10,000,000

- OS/Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation (ASPE) \$1,500,000 :
- OS/Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs (ASPA) \$1,900,000 ;

The spend plan for these funds were included in the CDC NEPA review and are incorporated in the CDC 1609(c) report (see CDC narrative below and 1609(c) report attached).

Administration for Children & Families (ACF)

The Administration for Children & Families has two ARRA Programs: Child Care and Development Block Grants and the Children and Family Services Program.

Child Care and Development Block Grant (page 1, row 1 and page 2, row 1 of the ACF spreadsheet) total represents the 315 awards issued to date. This program has been reviewed and categorically excluded in accordance with HHS NEPA procedures. \$ **1,990,190,248** has been obligated through June 30, 2011.

Children and Family Services Program (page 1, row 2 of the spreadsheet) total represents 5,172 awards issued to date. NEPA actions on this program include 1 Environmental Assessment and two Programmatic Categorical Exclusions. \$ **3,129,193,415** has been obligated through June 30, 2011.

- **Head Start Program** (page 2, row 2) has issued a total of 4,730 awards to date – this includes Head Start, Early Head Start and Head Start COLA/QI awards. The Head Start Program allows for construction and major renovations; therefore, Categorical Exclusions (CEs) are not applicable. The NEPA completion date reflects the effective date of the Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Head Start Program. Under the PEA, Head Start applicants are required to prepare an Environmental Report. The reports received from applicants undergo a final evaluation and ACF completes the work necessary for NEPA compliance. (NOTE: Prior to the 3rd Quarter 2010 each of the discrete awards were listed as a separate NEPA action but this was changed for the 3rd Quarter reporting period after consultation with CEQ resulting in a significantly reduced number of NEPA Actions)
- **Community Services Block Grant Program** (page 2, row 3) **mandatory and discretionary awards** remain unchanged from the previous reporting cycle, for a total 105 mandatory awards and 211 discretionary awards. (Please note: **Mandatory** awards are formula-based entitlement grants that ACF issues as a result of legislative action [e.g., mandated by Congress, appropriations acts, authorizing legislation]. ACF provides **discretionary** awards as a result of a competitive review.) This program has been reviewed and categorically excluded in accordance with HHS NEPA procedures.

- **Strengthening Communities Fund Grant Program** (page 2, row 4) remains unchanged from the previous reporting cycle, with a total of 126 awards. This program has been reviewed and categorically excluded in accordance with HHS NEPA procedures.

Administration on Aging (AoA)

AoA is reporting no changes from the previous report with a total of 406 ARRA funded projects. AoA received \$100 million in funding for the Aging Services Programs for a total of 358 awards (see AoA spreadsheet page 1, row 1). All of this funding has been obligated for projects and activities for three types of ARRA projects:

- Congregate Nutrition Services (page 2, row 1) – includes 56 awards totaling \$65 million to provide meals and related nutrition services for seniors who are homebound;
- Home-Delivered Nutrition Services (page 2, row 2) - includes 56 awards totaling \$32 million; and
- Nutrition Services for Native Americans (page 2, row 3) - included 246 awards totaling \$3 million to provide meals and related nutrition services for American Indian, Alaskan Native and Native Hawaiian elders.

AoA received an additional \$32.5 million from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ARRA Prevention and Wellness funding for the Chronic Disease Self Management Program (CDSMP; see page 1, row 2 of the AoA spreadsheet). A total of \$2.5 million of this amount has been transferred to the Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services (CMS) Quality Improvement Organization to evaluate the impact of chronic-disease self management on the health care utilization of Medicare beneficiaries (see CMS report). On March 31, \$30 million in CDSMP funding was awarded to 47 States and Territories, as well as for a Technical Assistance Resource Center, for a total of 48 grants (page 2, row 4).

All programs have undergone NEPA review and Categorical Exclusions (CE) have been determined to be the appropriate level of NEPA review.

Agency for Healthcare Research & Quality (AHRQ)

Under American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (Recovery Act) the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) appropriated \$1.1 billion for comparative effectiveness research, of which:

- \$300 million is for AHRQ (see page 2, row 1 of the AHRQ spreadsheet)
- \$400 million is for allocation by the Office of the Secretary (OS) (page 2, row 2)
- \$400 million is for the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (see page 2, row 20 of the NIH spreadsheet, attachment 7J under the program “Office of the Director, Scientific Research.”)

Please note that the allocation to OS is distributed at the discretion of OS but is listed on AHRQ's spreadsheet. The allocation to NIH is likewise distributed at the discretion of NIH but is listed on NIH's spreadsheet. The AHRQ, OS-CER and NIH programs reported here have undergone NEPA review and categorical exclusions have been determined to be appropriate.

AHRQ – Comparative Effectiveness Research

AHRQ CER obligations in ARRA Funds in Grant and Contract opportunities totaled \$299,819,133 million. These obligations reflect salaries and benefits used to support this research. AHRQ employed grants (63), contracts (19) and salaries and benefits to undertake this research for a total of 82 activities (see page 2, row 1 of the spreadsheet).

Office of the Secretary – Comparative Effectiveness Research

OS CER obligations in ARRA Funds in Grant and Contract opportunities totaled \$399,768,046 million. These obligations reflect salaries and benefits used to support this research. The OS employed grants (87), contracts (25), task order contracts (23), and salaries and benefits to undertake this research for total of 135 activities (see page 2, row 2).

All of the AHRQ and OS CER ARRA awards can be found on the AHRQ website at <http://www.ahrq.gov/fund/recoveryawards/>

CDC – Centers for Disease Control & Prevention

The CDC has three NEPA actions for the \$1,000,000,000 appropriations for Prevention and Wellness Fund: Section 317 Immunizations Program, Healthcare Associated Infections (HAI), and Communities Putting Prevention to Work (CPPW). The spending and implementation plans for these activities have been reviewed and the projects and activities have been found to be categorically excluded from further NEPA review in accordance with HHS NEPA procedures. As of September 30, 2010, CDC completed the obligations of the Prevention and Wellness Fund appropriations. To date, CDC obligated \$967,386,124 [CDC 1609 (c) Page 1, Lines 1&2]. The narrative information is derived from 478 grants and 87 contracts for a total of 565 ARRA Funded Projects / Activities, including the National Institutes for Health (CPPW), Office of the Secretary (CPPW), and Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (HAI) IDDA [CDC 1609 (c) Page 1, Lines 1&2]. The narrative does not include information from the Administration on Aging (CPPW) and Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services IDDA (CPPW).

The CDC appropriations cited above includes \$650 million, for a multi-facet approach to reducing chronic diseases entitled "Communities Putting Prevention to Work." With this funding, CDC obligated \$600.4 million (TAFS #75-0910-0942) and transferred \$49.6 million through IDDA as follows:

- Administration on Aging (AoA) \$30,000,000 (see AoA narrative and report);

- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) \$2,500,000 (see CMS narrative and report);
- National Institutes for Health (NIH) \$4,000,000 (included in this CDC narrative/1609 (c) Page 2, Line 3);
- OS/Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health (OASH) \$10,000,000 (included in this CDC narrative/1609 (c) Page 2, Line 3);;
- OS/Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation (ASPE) \$1,200,000 (included in this CDC narrative/1609 (c) Page 2, Line 3);and
- OS/Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs (ASPA) \$1,900,000 (included in this CDC narrative/1609 (c) Page 2, Line 3).
(See the OS section of this report for OASH, ASPE and ASPA activities)

The activities receiving Division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 funds include:

- 64 immunization programs that include all 50 states, Washington DC, 5 urban areas, the U.S. Territories, and selected Pacific Island nations [CDC 1609 (c) Page 2, Line 1];
- Programs to reduce preventable healthcare associated infections through State health department efforts, including leveraging the National Healthcare Safety Network to support the dissemination of HHS evidence-based practices within hospitals [CDC 1609 (c) Page 2, Line 2]; and
- Programs to expand the use of evidence-based strategies and programs, mobilize local resources at the community-level, and strengthen the capacity of states to reduce risk factors and prevent/delay chronic disease and promote wellness in both children and adults in large cities, urban areas, tribal communities, U.S. territories, state-coordinated small cities and rural areas [CDC 1609 (c) Page 2, Lines 3&4].

Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services (CMS)

Prevention and Wellness: CMS made 55 funding awards to 43 states. The total appropriation available is \$10,000,000. The total obligation as of June 30, 2011 is \$9,999,148 to a total of 43 states. CMS has determined that these activities fall within a Categorical Exclusion for NEPA under the HHS General Accounting Manual (GAM). The NEPA determination has been written and approved as a Categorical Exclusion. See CMS ARRA Financial Reports for more information (TAF code 75-0144).

CMS received \$2,500,000 from the Centers for Disease Control for the implementation of a project under the Communities Putting Prevention to Work program. CMS is working in cooperation with the Administration on Aging to evaluate the impact of chronic-disease self management on the health care utilization of Medicare beneficiaries. CMS awarded a contract to Mitre on September 27, 2010 in the amount of \$ 2,409,940, to develop the criteria and requirements for a new Health Program Registration System. This system will be used to register participants in the Diabetes and Self Management Program and create the basis for evaluation against claims data (for Medicare beneficiaries) for CMS. This work is covered by an existing NEPA finding of

Categorical Exclusion made by the Administration on Aging and approved September 9, 2009. See CMS ARRA Financial Reports for more information (TAF code 75-0942).

Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH):

CMS made one modification in the amount of \$ 611,565 to an existing contract to add additional information security and FISMA requirements under the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH) sections of the ARRA act of 2009. This work is covered by an existing NEPA finding of Categorical Exclusion made by the Office of the Secretary at the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) approved September 30, 2009. CMS received a total of \$1,186,721 in funds under TAF code 75-0141. The remaining funds are being used to fund three additional FTEs and other administrative costs. The HITECH activities described here are reported in the OS section and the OS 1609 c report under TAF 75-0141.

Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA)

There are no changes for the following programs:

1. Increased Demand for Services, Health Center Cluster Program (HRSA spreadsheet rows 1-2)
2. Health Center Cluster Program (HRSA spreadsheet rows 3-5)
3. National Health Service Corps Loan Repayment Program (HRSA spreadsheet row 6)
4. Nursing Education Loan Repayment Program (HRSA spreadsheet row 7)
5. State Loan Repayment Program (HRSA spreadsheet row 8)
6. Grants for Residency Training in Dental Public Health (HRSA spreadsheet row 9)
7. Public Health Traineeship (HRSA spreadsheet row 10)
8. Scholarships to Disadvantaged Students (HRSA spreadsheet row 11)
9. Nurse Faculty Loan Program (HRSA spreadsheet row 12)
10. Health Information Technology (HRSA spreadsheet row 13)
11. State Primary Care Offices (HRSA spreadsheet row 14)
12. Health Careers Opportunity Program (HRSA spreadsheet row 15)
13. Nursing Workforce Diversity Program (HRSA spreadsheet row 16)
14. Centers for Excellence (HRSA spreadsheet row 17)
15. The Licensure Portability Special Initiative (HRSA spreadsheet row 18)
16. Training in Primary Care Medicine and Dentistry: Administrative Academic Units (HRSA spreadsheet row 19)
17. Training in Primary Care Medicine and Dentistry: Physician Faculty Development in Primary Care (HRSA spreadsheet row 20)
18. Training in Primary Care Medicine and Dentistry: Pre-doctoral Training in Primary Care (HRSA spreadsheet row 21)
19. Training in Primary Care Medicine and Dentistry: Physician Assistant Training in Primary Care (HRSA spreadsheet row 22)
20. Training in Primary Care Medicine and Dentistry: Residency Training in Primary Care (HRSA spreadsheet row 23)

21. Training in Primary Care Medicine-Interdisciplinary and Interprofessional Graduate Joint Degree (HRSA spreadsheet row 24)
22. Predoctoral Training in General, Pediatric, and Public Health Dentistry and Dental Hygiene (HRSA spreadsheet row 25)
23. Postdoctoral Training in General, Pediatric and Public Health Dentistry and Dental Hygiene (HRSA spreadsheet row 26)
24. Equipment to Enhance Training for Health Professionals (HRSA spreadsheet row 27)
25. Preventive Medicine Residency Program (HRSA spreadsheet row 28)

Pending NEPA actions are discussed herein, as well as, the second tab of the HRSA spreadsheet (attachment 7H).

Federal Investment Program (FIP)

In December 2009, 108 Federal Investment Program projects were approved. One new FIP grant—representing two new projects—was awarded March 11, 2010, bringing the total number of projects to 110.

Thirty-four projects were listed under a Programmatic Environmental Assessment (HRSA spreadsheet row 29). Reviews for these projects have been completed. The total number of projects listed under the Programmatic Environmental Assessment increased from 31 to 34 due to recent changes in several grantees' project scope, which then fell under a Programmatic Environmental Assessment.

Seventy-six projects required Environmental Assessments (EA). In addition to the 69 previously completed EAs, an additional five EAs for individual FIP projects were completed during the reporting period (HRSA spreadsheet rows 100-104), bringing the total number of completed reviews to 74. Two Environmental Assessments are under development and are pending, as explained below.

In total, two projects for which Environmental Assessments are required are still pending (HRSA spreadsheet row 30). These were pending in the last CEQ report as well.

Explanation of Pending FIP Projects

FIP grants were awarded on December 9, 2009. It is important to note that these are Federal grants. In accordance with Federal appropriation law, grantees may have up to five years, with prior approval, to expend Federal grant funding.

- Construction of a health center addition and parking in Maine. The project is delayed due to grantee revisions to the project scope of work. HRSA is waiting for grantee submission of the revised EA and SHPO reflecting changes to the project scope. Based on current information, HRSA expects this will be resolved within the next 90 days.

- Demolition of an existing health care facility and construction of a new replacement health care facility in California. The grantee needed to change the scope of the project when it discovered that the proposal to renovate existing building could not be executed due to structural problems. HRSA is waiting for grantee submission of the revised EA and SHPO reflecting changes to the project scope. Based on current information, HRSA expects this will be resolved within the next 90 days.

Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

Equipment Projects

A total of 1,112 equipment projects to support health center medical, administrative, and information technology were determined to be Categorically Excluded from further review under NEPA (HRSA spreadsheet row 105).

Alteration/Renovation/Repair Projects

A total of 1,147 alteration/renovation/repair projects have been completed under a Programmatic Environmental Assessment (HRSA spreadsheet row 106). Four projects may fall under one Programmatic Environmental Assessment (HRSA spreadsheet row 109). These were reported as pending in the last CEQ report:

- Two grantees are working on preparation of Section 106 consultations.
- Two grantees are making revisions to their project scope of work, which delay preparation and completion of environmental and historic preservation reviews.

A total of 24 Environmental Assessment's have been completed for individual alteration/renovation/repair projects (HRSA spreadsheet rows 110-133).

Construction

A total of 372 projects were classified as construction.

A total of 174 construction projects have been completed under a Programmatic Environmental Assessment (HRSA spreadsheet row 106).

One-hundred and ninety-six Environmental Assessments have been completed (HRSA spreadsheet rows 134-329) with two projects being completed over the past quarter (HRSA spreadsheet rows 134-136). Two projects are still pending (HRSA spreadsheet row 104), due to one grantee preparing a draft Environmental Assessment and Section 106 consultation, and another grantee revising its Environmental Assessment and Section 106 consultation to reflect the total scope of work occurring at the site due to changes in the project.

Explanation of Pending CIP Projects

CIP grants were awarded on June 29, 2009. It is important to note that these are Federal grants. In accordance with Federal appropriation law, grantees may have up to five years, with prior approval, to expend Federal grant funding.

- Alteration and Renovation of a health center in New York. The project is pending approval of a revised project scope, which is currently under review. HRSA expects this will be resolved within the next 60 days.
- Alteration and Renovation of the exterior of a health center in Maryland. The project is pending submission of Section 106 consultation by grantee. HRSA is providing technical assistance to the grantee to complete the requirements. HRSA expects this will be resolved within the next 60 days.
- Alteration and Renovation of a health care facility in South Carolina. The project is pending approval of a revised project scope, which is currently under review. Pending Agency review of grantee proposed revised project scope. HRSA expects this will be resolved within the next 60 days.
- Alteration and Renovation of a health center in California. The project is pending grantee submission of Section 106 consultation. HRSA is providing technical assistance to the grantee to complete the requirements. HRSA expects this will be resolved within the next 60 days.
- Construction of a 18,400 square foot addition onto an existing health center in Michigan. HRSA is waiting for grantee submission of a Environmental Assessment and Section 106 consultation. The grantee may be evaluating scope changes to the project due to external constraints, however, HRSA is providing technical assistance as needed.
- Construction of a new health center facility and parking in Massachusetts. The project is pending grantee submission of revised Section 106 consultation reflecting changes to the project scope. HRSA is providing technical assistance to the grantee to complete the requirements. HRSA expects this will be resolved within the next 60 days.

The following are examples of a benefit afforded by the NEPA process to HRSA projects

- Seattle-King County Public Health Department EA
The project proposed placing a homeless respite care center within a Section 8 apartment building. Comments submitted by the residents of the buildings during the NEPA public comment period resulted in changes to the project in terms of safety and access, as well as a more positive perception of the project.
- Providence Community Health Center EA
In considering an important Brownfield redevelopment project in South Providence, Rhode Island, the NEPA process for the Providence Community Health Center helped to uncover the existence of potential residual contaminants

from lithography chemicals and underground tanks at the historic site. Working with EPA Region I and the Rhode Island Department of Environmental Quality, HHS ensured that the necessary measures were incorporated as part of redevelopment of the site to protect human health and minimize the potential for future liability. Upon receiving the appropriate findings that remediation standards have been met to ensure that the future health of workers and patients is protected, HRSA moved forward with funding the project.

Indian Health Service (IHS)

The ‘Indian Health Facilities’ report includes the number of funded projects that were obligated by contracts, Memorandum of Agreements (MOA), Indian Sanitation Facilities Act (Public Law 86-121) arrangements, or other authorized obligating agreements through June 30, 2011.

During the last reporting period (ending June 30), 16 new Indian Health Facilities projects were identified for a total of 893 completed NEPA actions and 16 pending NEPA actions.

Indian Health Facilities

The ‘Indian Health Facilities’ appropriations include maintenance and improvement projects, repair and renovation projects, acquisition of medical equipment and CT scanners, and sanitation projects. See spreadsheet page 1, row 1 and page 2, rows 1-323 and 329-636. This line item also shows an increase of \$90 Million due to a transfer under an interagency agreement with the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for drinking water and clean water act tribal set asides.

A total of 14 projects were withdrawn as of this report; rows 62, 103, 120, 121, 173, 200, 231, 254, 270, 289, 308, 310.

There are four types of Indian Health Facilities program projects:

- * Maintenance and Improvement Projects (page 2, rows 1- 301, 395-396, 398, 437-439, 442, 494-496, 498): A total of 333 CEs, 4 EAs are completed, and 10 withdrawn.
- * Equipment (page 2, rows 302-321, 394, 397, 440-441, 493, 497, 499): There are 218 CEs completed and 4 withdrawn.
- * Health Facilities Construction (page 2, rows 322 and 323): Both projects have completed their NEPA and other environmental requirements and are not expected to change.
- * Sanitation Projects (page 2, rows 329 – 393, 399-436, 443-492, 500-636): There are 270 CEs and 4 EAs are completed, 15 CEs and 1 EA are pending—the agency expects to finalize its determinations by the end of the calendar year; the delays are due to

administrative and engineering considerations. Of these projects 30 sanitation projects are jointly funded with EPA ARRA funds and 138 sanitation projects are funded with EPA ARRA funds only; however, IHS is reporting on all these projects.

Pending Actions Table (1 EA and 15 CEs)

Project	Project Description	Pending quarters	Estimated date	
AB-09-Z13	Ft Thompson WTP Replacement	9	9/15/2011	Drafting documents; completion estimated in next quarter.
NA-09-S10	Cottonwood East Q23	9	8/13/2011	21 homes will be connected to the community water system and will receive septic tank and drainfield systems. The Area is awaiting responses to the construction plan set given to the Navajo Tribal Utility Authority and the EPA for review. Responses to comments on the CE are expected during the next quarter and will facilitate completion of the pending CE.
NA-09-S11	Defiance Scatt N23	9	11/15/2011	Pressure test 6.4 miles of waterline; construct a solenoid valve vault with controls, telemetry, and a booster station with powerline extension to benefit the 62 project homes. The request for a work permit from NMDOT is still in progress.
NS-09-S41	CHER - Adams Crk Inv Syphon Replace	9	8/19/2011	Construct high capacity sewage pump station and a new river crossing, connect new force main to plant headworks and peak flow bypass to screen. The EA is in progress and completion is expected with the determination being made next quarter.
OK-09-R21	Comanche/ RWD 4 Water Improvement	8	9/5/2011	Construct 6,727 feet of 2-inch waterline, 20,128 feet of 4-inch water line, 15,894 feet of 8-inch waterline, 13,341 feet of 12-inch waterline, 66 feet 6-inch bore and casing, 548 feet 10-inch bore and casing, 132 feet 16-inch bore and casing, 332 feet 20-inch bore and casing, and 1 12-inch master meter.

				Comanche Tribe has released funds to the Rural Water District (RWD) to acquire materials. RWD still working out a few easement issues.
OK-09-R39	IOWA/ LAGOON INLET PIPE REHAB	8	9/1/2011	Construct new manhole and the install 8-inch diameter ductile iron inlet line for community wastewater lagoon. Iowa Tribal Construction Program has working plans and specifications for the job and will schedule construction. The Area is awaiting a response for additional information from the Iowa Tribe and the Iowa Tribal Construction Program in order to complete the CE. The response and completion of the CE are expected during the next quarter.
PH-09-E14	Yerington As/U supplement	9	8/1/2011	Construct a water treatment plant to remove uranium using ion exchange and arsenic using adsorption. Bid documents being reviewed.
PH-09-E15	Bacavi Scattered & Plumbing (W)	9	1/2/2012	In conjunction with PH-09-T60, provide plumbing and construct water and sewer services for 12 homes. Changes to homes to be served need to be evaluated.
PH-09-T60	Bacavi Scattered & Plumbing (S)	9	1/2/2012	In conjunction with PH-09-E15, provide plumbing and construct water and sewer services for 12 homes. Changes to homes to be served need to be evaluated.
PH-09-E16	Skull Valley - Water Storage Tank	9	7/22/2011	New water storage tank will be constructed. Preparing scope of work.
PH-09-E18	Grand Canyon West Solar Pump	9	9/1/2011	Solar photovoltaic system including solar panels, motorized trackers, DC to AC inverters, all wiring, and improvements to the booster pump building. Drafting documents; completion estimated in Fall.
PH-09-T61	Shungopavi Lagoon Expansion	9	8/1/2011	Construct new cell for existing lagoon system. Construction documents being drafted.
PH-09-	WHITERIVER	9	7/30/2011	Construct gravity sewer main

T62	- Alchesay Flat SM Ext.			extension, 1 lift station, and force main to serve the thirty five homes. Finalizing.
PH-09-T63	North Sewage Lagoon Wash	9	8/31/2011	Replace existing road culvert. Drafting documents; completion estimated in next quarter.
PH-09-T67	Sewer Line F - Quechan	9	11/30/2011	Construct sewer line for 15 homes. Drafting documents; completion estimated in Fall.
PH-09-T76	Yuma Lift Station Upgrade	9	8/31/2012	Renovate sewage lift station. Drafting documents; completion estimate next quarter.

Indian Health Services

The 'Indian Health Services' (spreadsheet page 1, row 2 and page 2, rows 324-328) appropriations includes 63 categorically excluded activities, mostly acquisition, related to Health information technology (HIT) Electronic Health Record Development & Deployment, Telehealth and Network Infrastructure and Project Management, Transparency.

* Health Information Technology (page 2, rows 324-328): All CEs are complete.

National Institutes of Health (NIH)

The NIH has the following ARRA funded programs:

- "Building and Facilities"; [NIH 1609(c) Page 1. Lines 1] (TAFS 75-0839)
 - "NCRR Extramural construction/Shared Instrumentation" (National Center for Research Resources); [NIH 1609(c) Page 1. Line 2] (TAFS 75-0847) and
 - "Office of the Director, Scientific Research" [NIH 1609(c) Page 1. Line 3] (TAFS 75-0845) (also includes 75-08xx and 75-09xx sub-series for Institutes and Division obligations and activities).
 - \$400 million of the appropriation for "Comparative Effectiveness Research" from AHRQ has been transferred to NIH and used the Office of the Director Treasury Appropriation Fund Symbol, 75-0845.
1. "Building and Facilities" [NIH 1609(c) Page 2. Lines 1-16] currently has 16 projects/activities with 13 categorical exclusions (CE), one completed environmental assessment (EA) and one Master Plan completed Environmental Impact Statements (EISs) which covers two projects.

2. The NCCR [NIH 1609(c) Page 2. Lines17-19] specializes in extramural grants and grantees are authorized to conduct initial environmental reviews which have resulted in 156 CEs and 19 EAs. One Grant was changed from a CatEx to an EA required due to the addition of a BSL-3 laboratory. The pending [NIH 1609(c) Page 2. Line 18] are waiting on final confirmation from the grantees and NCCR. After confirmation of acceptance the EAs will be coordinated with the NIH and completed prior to construction grants being dispersed. Three Environmental Assessments have been completed resulting in Findings of No Significant Impact. 12 are still in progress. The update of the pending projects is as follows.

Hampton University, VA

- A brief description of the project:
 - The proposed Multidisciplinary Biomedical Research Center II (BRC) is a two story 20,000 square foot building dedicated to biomedical research located on Tyler Street within the Hampton University campus in Hampton, Virginia.
- How long the action has been pending (number of quarters?)
 - 6 quarters. Received first Draft EA on December 29th, 2010. We last received a second draft on January 31st, 2011. Since then the contractor has been obtaining additional information. The last contact we had with contractor was July 1st, 2011.
- Specific reason(s) for pending status
 - Contractor writing EA is working on obtaining certain information needed within the draft EA.
- Details on the progress that is being made towards completion (what actions have been taken?)
 - Last updated by contractor on July 1st, 2011 and should have the draft EA, ready to submit for 30 day comment within 2 weeks.
- A reasonable estimation of completion date, if possible
 - Approximately 60 days

University of Arizona

- A brief description of the project
 - Construction of a 12,100 DGSEF vivarium on University of Arizona campus to meet existing and future scientific needs. This represents Phase One of a larger vivarium project currently planned for the Phoenix Biomedical Campus along with construction of the Health Sciences Education Building and the ABC 2 research building.
- How long the action has been pending (number of quarters?)

- 5 quarters

- Specific reason(s) for pending status
 - University of Arizona has been extremely slow in procuring a contractor.
- Details on the progress that is being made towards completion (what actions have been taken?)
 - As of June 29th, 2011 the University is finalizing the contract to start writing the EA
- A reasonable estimation of completion date, if possible
 - End of 2011

Puerto Rico Primate Center

- A brief description of the project
 - Construction of a new veterinary services facility and the purchase and installation of an automated cage washer for the Sabana Seca Field Station (SSFS) of the Caribbean Primate Research Center (CPRC). The CPRC is a research and training unit of the University of Puerto Rico (UPR), Medical Sciences Campus (MSC), and Unit of Comparative Medicine
- How long the action has been pending (number of quarters?)
 - 6 quarters
- Specific reason(s) for pending status
 - In the beginning stages there were language barriers between contractor and NIH. NIH representatives met with POCs from the University and the contractor and went through what was expected from all parties in moving forward.
- Details on the progress that is being made towards completion (what actions have been taken?)
 - After meeting in person NIH received an acceptable draft EA with very little changes needed. Those changes/comments were sent back to the University on May 17, 2011. Since then there has been no correspondence between either party.
- A reasonable estimation of completion date, if possible
 - End of 2011

Stony Brook State University, NY

- A brief description of the project
 - Construction of an Animal Biosafety Level 3 Laboratory (ABSL-3) at Stony Brook University (SBU), State University of New York (SUNY), Brookhaven, Suffolk County, New York.

- How long the action has been pending (number of quarters?)
-5 quarters
- Specific reason(s) for pending status
-Change in specific design for building (green roof changed to hard roof)
- Details on the progress that is being made towards completion (what actions have been taken?)
-Received draft EA on July 5, 2011 for 30 day comment period
- A reasonable estimation of completion date, if possible
-60 days

University of Texas at Brownsville

- A brief description of the project
- The proposed BRHPB Phase II laboratory facility will feature six wet laboratories, offices, and support space/common areas, and will be a total of 8,264 gross square feet. Each laboratory has been designed as a standard Biosafety Level 2 (BSL2) laboratory and will have an office space conveniently located for each researcher.
- How long the action has been pending (number of quarters?)
-6 quarters
- Specific reason(s) for pending status
-University was extremely slow in contacting us about EA process. The location and design had changed while NIH was in process of contacting further slowing process.
- Details on the progress that is being made towards completion (what actions have been taken?)
-As of March 25, 2011 the University has been in touch with the NIH and has a contractor on board. The contractor has spoken to NIH on multiple occasions and is working hard on draft EA.
- A reasonable estimation of completion date, if possible
-End of 2011

University of California at Davis

- A brief description of the project
- Construction of a Respiratory Diseases Center (RDC) as part of the University of California, Davis (UC Davis) California National Primate Research Center (CNPRC), in Davis, California.

- How long the action has been pending (number of quarter
-6 quarters
- Specific reason(s) for pending status
-UC Davis had to put a hold on the EA as to take care of CEQA issues pertaining to their project.
- Details on the progress that is being made towards completion (what actions have been taken?)
-UC Davis sent revised Draft to NIYH on June 7, 2011. The draft is now being reviewed by NIH representatives for 30 day comment period
- A reasonable estimation of completion date, if possible
-60 days

University of Florida at Gainesville

- A brief description of the project
- Construct a 39,445 gross square feet (gsf) Clinical & Translational Research Building (CTRB) on UF's Gainesville campus
- How long the action has been pending (number of quarters?)
-6 quarters
- Specific reason(s) for pending status
-University was slow in hiring a contractor
- Details on the progress that is being made towards completion (what actions have been taken?)
-Draft EA has been reviewed and cleared by State of Florida Clearinghouse and is in the 30 day comment period
- A reasonable estimation of completion date, if possible
-60 days

University of Louisville

- A brief description of the project
- Expansion of U of L's existing Center for Predictive Medicine for Biodefense Emerging and Infectious Diseases (CPM) Regional Biocontainment Laboratory (RBL). The U of L is a state-supported research university in Louisville, Kentucky.
- How long the action has been pending (number of quarters?)
-6 quarters

- Specific reason(s) for pending status
-University was slow in hiring a contractor
- Details on the progress that is being made towards completion (what actions have been taken?)
-Draft EA is being given to Kentucky State Clearinghouse for 30 day comment period
- A reasonable estimation of completion date, if possible
-60 days

University of Massachusettes

- A brief description of the project
 - Renovation of selective areas on the 7th, 8th, and roof levels of the existing University of Massachusetts Medical School's Student Research Wing (Worcester, Massachusetts). The renovation plan will consolidate, enlarge, and enhance UMMS's existing BSL-3 laboratory, transforming it into a BSL-3 suite.
- How long the action has been pending (number of quarters?)
-5 quarters
- Specific reason(s) for pending status
-University was slow in returning draft after first round of comments by NIH
- Details on the progress that is being made towards completion (what actions have been taken?)
- As of June 28, 2011 the draft EA has been received. This draft will be reviewed and sent to proper state agencies for 30 comment period
- A reasonable estimation of completion date, if possible
-60 days

University of Mississippi

- A brief description of the project
 - Construction of a 110,000 ft² mutli-storied Phase II brick addition. The 110,000 ft² Phase II addition would allow researchers and scientists to advance the research of natural products into clinical studies and commercial products.
- How long the action has been pending (number of quarters?)
-5 quarters
- Specific reason(s) for pending status
-NIH was waiting on information as to what would be included in all floors of the addition to make a decision on to what level of review it needed

- Details on the progress that is being made towards completion (what actions have been taken?)
 - NIH and HRSA (the 2 agencies awarding funds) are working together in reviewing a draft document and getting comments back to contractor
- A reasonable estimation of completion date, if possible
 - End of 2011

University of Michigan

- A brief description of the project
 - Construction of the proposed ISR expansion project will include three above-ground floors and a basement for a total of approximately 44,700 square feet of new office and support space.
 -
- How long the action has been pending (number of quarters?)
 - 6 quarters
- Specific reason(s) for pending status
 - SHPO was requiring more information and needed a face to face meeting with the University
- Details on the progress that is being made towards completion (what actions have been taken?)
 - EA is currently in the last week of the 30 day comment period
- A reasonable estimation of completion date, if possible
 - 30 days

University of Colorado

- A brief description of the project
 - Partial build-out of the recently constructed Imaging Facility shell at the Rocky Mountain Regional Biocontainment Laboratory (RMRBL) at the Colorado State University
- How long the action has been pending (number of quarters?)
 - 5 quarters
- Specific reason(s) for pending status
 - University was slow in returning draft EA after NIH comment
- Details on the progress that is being made towards completion (what actions have been taken?)
 - EA is currently in 30 day comment period ending July 15, 2011

- A reasonable estimation of completion date, if possible
-30 days

Banner Health, Phoenix, AZ

- A brief description of the project
-Expansion of the Banner Alzheimer's Institute (BAI) adjacent and contiguous to the existing Willetta Medical Building on the campus of Banner Good Samaritan Medical Center in Phoenix, Maricopa County, Arizona
- How long the action has been pending (number of quarters?)
-6 quarters
- Specific reason(s) for pending status
-Institute was slow in hiring a contractor and then slow in returning draft after comments
- Details on the progress that is being made towards completion (what actions have been taken?)
-Should be receiving updated draft in the next couple of weeks
- A reasonable estimation of completion date, if possible
- End of 2011

3. The OD [NIH 1609(c) Page 2. Lines 20-45] appropriations are made available to the various Institutes and Centers through grants which may be intramural or extramural research and are usually determined to be CE. Appropriations to OD for Comparative Effectiveness Research include 23 projects which have been incorporated into the OD line item. Those projects/activities with approved spend plans have completed NEPA reviews. Extraordinary circumstances, such as, major construction or a high containment laboratory, or controversial issues would require additional environmental review under an EA or EIS. Currently, there have been 1,560 activities under the OD resulting in CEs.

###